UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/627,919 | 07/28/2003 | Paul L. Baker | 907.0002 | 3616 |
| 25534 CAHN & SAM | 7590 10/30/200 UELS LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1100 17th STRI | EET NW | OBEID, MAMON A | | |
| | SUITE 401 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3621 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/30/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/627,919 | BAKER, PAUL L. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | MAMON OBEID | 3621 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju | lv 2008. | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| ·= | ·— | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11,14- 15, 18-23, 25-27 and 28-30</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| · · | · <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 0/24 Olallin(0) <u>0 11,111 10, 10 20, 20 27 41,14 20 00</u> 0 | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/627,919 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim 28, drawn to negotiation between parties, classified in class
 705, subclass 80.
 - II. Claims 9- 11, 18- 23, 25- 27 and 29, drawn to a dictionary/vocabulary, classified in class 704, subclass 10.
 - III. Claims 14- 15, drawn to concealing value of parameters with continuous ranges, classified in class 713, subclass 185.
 - IV. Claim 30, drawn to structured data/ database, classified in class707, subclass 1.
- 2. Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I, negotiation between parties, has separate utility such as trading, bidding and/or auctioning.

 Subcombination II has a separate utility as online dictionary. Subcombination III has a separate utility for concealing error pixel data contained in reproduced pages.

 Subcombination IV has a separate utility for utilizing a database to store and retrieve data. The first subcombination is related to negotiation, while the second subcombination is directed to a dictionary/ vocabulary. The first two subcombinations do

Application/Control Number: 10/627,919 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

not overlap because they can exist independently of each other and they have separate structural elements. Similarly, the first and third subcombinations and the first and fourth subcombinations can exist independently of each other and they have separate structural elements. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification/ sub classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mamon Obeid whose telephone number is (571) 270-1813. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30 AM- 6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,919 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mamon Obeid Examiner

Examiner

Art Unit: 3621

November 3, 2008

/EVENS J. AUGUSTIN/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621